



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,984	01/29/2004	Atsushi Kazama	500.43431X00	2796	
20457 75	590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			PETKOVSEK	PETKOVSEK, DANIEL J	
SUITE 1800	0 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET TE 1800			PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2874	•	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/765,984					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner ()	KAZAMA ET AL				
	The BEAU DISC.	2 1. DOWN 9125106	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,  WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any						
	Status	Status					
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE amendment filed August 21, 2006</u> .  2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
1	Disposition of Claims		0.0.213.				
	4)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
A	Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) [ 2) [ 3) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:					
J. Pate	ent and Trademark Office	·					

## DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the RCE amendment filed August 21, 2006. In accordance with the amendment, claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11, and 12 have been amended; claim 4 has been canceled; while new claims 13-21 have been added.

Claims 1-3 and 5-21 are pending.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 21, 2006 has been entered.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-12, 15-18, 20, and 21, drawn to an optical switch having a plurality of collimators and mirrors, in which the mirror and 1<sup>st</sup> mirror are disposed on the collimator side, while the 2<sup>nd</sup> mirror is disposed on an optical fiber side, classified in class 385, subclass 18.
  - II. Claims 2, 6, 13, 14, and 19, drawn to an optical switch collimator and mirror arrays in which the optical path between the 1<sup>st</sup> mirror and 2<sup>nd</sup> mirror preferably forms a position so that overlap occurs, classified in class 385, subclass 18.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/765,984

Art Unit: 2874

3.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this

Page 3

relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed because the combination has distinct patentable features in having optical path overlap.

The subcombination has separate utility such as having a plurality of collimators and mirrors, in

which the mirror and 1st mirror are disposed on the collimator side, while the 2nd mirror is

disposed on an optical fiber side.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination

inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the

allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104.

See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or

divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory

double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Petkovsek whose telephone number is (571) 272-2355. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,984 Page 5

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Petkovsek September 25, 2006

> SUNG PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER